




ns-3
NETWORK SIMULATOR



CTTC[®]
Centre
Tecnològic
de Telecomunicacions
de Catalunya



innovating communications


The ns-3 LTE module

CTTC ns-3 team, Mobile Networks Department (Biljana Bojovic, Lorenza Giupponi, Marco Miozzo, Manuel Requena and Nicola Baldo)




The LENA project

- LENA is a simulation platform for LTE/EPC
- Initial development started in 2010 by in the framework of Google Summer of Code
- Developed by the Mobile Network department of CTTC
- Main objective:
to allow to design and test Self Organized Network (SON) algorithms and solutions for LTE vendors



LENA: an open source product-oriented LTE/EPC Network Simulator


- A Product-oriented simulator:
 - designed around an industrial API: the Small Cell Forum MAC Scheduler Interface Specification
 - Allows testing of real code in the simulation
 - Accurate model of the LTE/EPC protocol stack
 - Specific Channel and PHY layer models for LTE macro and small cells
- An Open source simulator:
 - Development open to the community
 - Fosters early adoption and contributions
 - Helps building confidence and trust on simulation model
 - Candidate reference evaluation platform
 - Based on ns-3
 - Free and open source licensing (GPLv2)




LENA: an open source product-oriented LTE/EPC Network Simulator

Target applications for LENA include the design and performance evaluation of:

- DL & UL LTE MAC Schedulers
- Radio Resource Management Algorithms
- Inter-cell interference coordination solutions
- Load Balancing and Mobility Management
- Heterogeneous Network (HetNets) solutions
- End-to-end QoE provisioning
- Multi-RAT network solutions
- Cognitive LTE systems







LENA High level requirements

- Support the evaluation of:
 - Radio-level performance
 - End-to-end QoE
- Allow the prototyping of algorithms for:
 - QoS-aware Packet Scheduling
 - Radio Resource Management
 - Inter-cell Interference Coordination
 - Self Organized Networks
 - Cognitive / Dynamic Spectrum Access
- Scalability requirements:
 - Several 10s to a few 100s of eNBs
 - Several 100s to a few 1000s of UEs

Design approach


- Simulation is a tradeoff between:
 - Detail of the model
 - Implementation complexity and run-time scalability
- Choose min detail that satisfies requirements
 - Minimize implementation complexity
 - Minimize difficulty in using the simulator






(Some) Important Design Choices



- FemtoForum LTE MAC Scheduler API
- Radio signal model granularity: Resource Block
 - Symbol-level model not affordable
 - Simplified Channel & PHY model
- Realistic RLC to use IP from upper layers.
- Realistic Data Plane Protocol stack model
 - Realistic RLC, PDCP, S1-U, X2-U
 - Allows for proper interaction with IP networking
 - Allows for end-to-end QoE evaluations
- Hybrid Control Plane model:
 - Realistic RRC model
 - Simplified S1-C, X2-C and S11 models
- Simplified EPC
 - One MME and one SGW
 - SGW and PGW in the same node (no S5/S8 interface)
- Focus on connected mode
 - RRC connected, EMM Registered, ECM connected




LENA model overview




End-to-end Data Plane protocol stack




End-to-end Data Plane architecture: data flow in downlink




End-to-end Data Plane architecture: data flow in uplink









LTE Data Plane protocol stack: UE





LTE Data Plane protocol stack: eNB




PHY and Channel architecture: UE




PHY and Channel architecture: eNB



LTE Ctrl Plane protocol stack: UE






LTE Ctrl Plane protocol stack: eNB










EPC Control Plane Architecture





EPC Control Plane Architecture



EPC Control Plane Architecture





EPC Control Plane Architecture



Radio Propagation Models

- Included new models for enabling 3GPP-like scenarios
 - New path loss models (indoor and outdoor)
 - External & internal wall losses
 - Shadowing
 - Pathloss logic
 - Buildings model
 - Add buildings to network topology
 - Antenna models
 - Isotropic, sectorial (cosine & parabolic shape)
 - Fast fading model
 - Pedestrian, vehicular, etc.







Outdoor Radio Propagation models


- Okumura Hata: open area pathloss for distances > 1 Km and frequencies ranging from 150 MHz to 2.0 GHz
- Kun empirical model for 2.6 GHz
 - Sun Kun, Wang Ping, Li Yingze "Path Loss Models for Suburban Scenario at 2.3GHz, 2.6GHz and 3.5GHz", 8th International Symposium on Antennas, Propagation and EM Theory (ISAPE), 2008.
- ITU-R P1411 Line-of-Sight (LoS) short range outdoor communication in the frequency range 300 MHz to 100 GHz
 - Used for short communication link (< 200 m.)
- ITU-R P1411 Non-Line-of-Sight (NLoS) short range outdoor communication over rooftops in the frequency range 300 MHz to 100 GHz.
 - Used for communication link < 1 km

Buildings model & Indoor Radio Propagation model



- Buildings defined as ns3 Box classes with
 - xMin, xMax, yMin, yMax, zMin, zMax (inherited by Box)
 - Number of floors
 - Number of room in x-axis and y -axis (grid topology)
- Buildings model that allows to “install” building information to mobility model of a node:
 - the ns3 Box class containing the building
 - Position in terms of floors
 - Position in the grid of rooms
 - Node condition: indoor vs. outdoor
- ITU-R P1238 implements building-dependent indoor propagation loss model as function of the type of building (i.e., residential, office and commercial)







Hybrid Propagation Loss Model

- External wall losses for penetration loss through walls for indoor to outdoor communications and vice-versa (from COST231)
 - Wood ~ 4 dB
 - Concrete with windows (not metallized) ~ 7 dB
 - Concrete without windows ~ 15 dB (spans between 10 and 20)
 - Stone blocks ~ 12 dB
- Internal wall losses evaluated assuming that each single internal wall has a constant penetration (5 dB) and evaluating the number of walls
- Log-normal shadowing standard deviation as function of the connection characteristics
 - Outdoor $\sigma_o = 7$
 - Indoor $\sigma_i = 10$
 - External walls penetration $\sigma_E = 5$
- Height gain model when transmitting device is on a floor above the ground (2 dB)
- Pathloss logic chooses correct model depending on nodes positions



Antenna models

- LTE supports antenna modeling via ns-3 AntennaModel class.
- Isotropic [default one, for both eNB and UE]
- Sectorial (cosine & parabolic shape)






Fading model


- Fast fading model based on pre calculated traces for maintaining a low computational complexity
 - Matlab script provided in the code using `rayleighchan` function
 - 1 fading value per RB and TTI
- Main parameters:
 - **users' speed**: relative speed between users (affects the Doppler frequency)
 - **number of taps** (and relative power): number of multiple paths considered
 - **time granularity** of the trace: sampling time of the trace.
 - **frequency granularity** of the trace: number of RB.
 - **length of trace**: ideally large as the simulation time, might be reduced by windowing mechanism.



Urban scenario 3 kmph



Pedestrian scenario 3 kmph







PHY model

- Only FDD is modeled
- Freq domain granularity: RB
- Time domain granularity: 1 TTI (1 ms)
- The subframe is divided in frequency into DL & UL



- DL part is made of
 - control (RS, PCFICH, PDCCH)
 - RS is part of the control
 - data (PDSCH)
- UL part is made of
 - control and data (PUSCH+PUCCH)
 - SRS (only wideband periodic)






Interference and Channel Feedback

- LTE Spectrum model: (f_c, B) identifies the radio spectrum usage

- f_c : LTE Absolute Radio Frequency Channel Number
- B: Transmission Bandwidth Configuration in number of RB
- Supports different frequencies and bandwidths per eNB
- UE will automatically use the spectrum model of the eNB it is attached to



- Gaussian Interference model

- powers of interfering signals (in linear units) are summed up together to determine the overall interference power per RB basis

- CQI feedback

- periodic wideband CQIs: single value representative for the whole B.
- inband CQIs: a set of value representing the channel state for each RB

- In DL evaluated according to the SINR of:


- control channel (RS, PDCCH)
- data channel when available (PDSCH)

- In UL evaluated according to the SINR of

- SRS signal periodically sent by the UEs.
- PUSCH with the actual transmitted data.

- Scheduler can filter the CQI according to their nature:


- SRS_UL_CQI for storing only SRS based CQIs.
- PUSCH_UL_CQI for storing only PUSCH based CQIs.
- ALL_UL_CQI for storing all the CQIs received.






PHY Data error model

- Signal processing not modeled accurately \Rightarrow use error model
- Transport Block error model
- Used for PDSCH and PUSCH
- Based on Link-to-System Mapping
 - SINR measured per Resource Block
 - Mutual Information Effective SINR Mapping (MIESM)
 - BLER curves from dedicated link-level LTE simulations
 - Error probability per codeblock
 - Multiple codeblocks per Transport Block






BLER Curves



| MCS Index | Modulation Order | TBS Index |
|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| I_{MCS} | Q_m | I_{TBS} |
| 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 4 | 2 | 4 |
| 5 | 2 | 5 |
| 6 | 2 | 6 |
| 7 | 2 | 7 |
| 8 | 2 | 8 |
| 9 | 2 | 9 |
| 10 | 4 | 9 |
| 11 | 4 | 10 |
| 12 | 4 | 11 |
| 13 | 4 | 12 |
| 14 | 4 | 13 |
| 15 | 4 | 14 |
| 16 | 4 | 15 |
| 17 | 6 | 15 |
| 18 | 6 | 16 |
| 19 | 6 | 17 |
| 20 | 6 | 18 |
| 21 | 6 | 19 |
| 22 | 6 | 20 |
| 23 | 6 | 21 |
| 24 | 6 | 22 |
| 25 | 6 | 23 |
| 26 | 6 | 24 |
| 27 | 6 | 25 |
| 28 | 6 | 26 |
| 29 | 2 | |
| 30 | 4 | reserved |
| 31 | 6 | |




PHY DL Control error model

- Error model only for downlink, while uplink has an error-free channel
- Based on an evaluation study carried out in the RAN4 (R4-081920)
- Evaluated according to the equivalent SINR perceived in the whole bandwidth of PCFICH+PDCCH with MIESM model
- In case of error correspondent DCIs are discarded and data will not be decoded



MIMO




- Ns3 provides only SISO propagation model
- MIMO has been modeled as SINR gain over SISO according to
 - S. Catreux, L.J. Greenstein, V. Erceg, “Some results and insights on the performance gains of MIMO systems,” Selected Areas in Communications, IEEE Journal on , vol.21, no.5, pp. 839- 847, June 2003
- Catreux et al. present the statistical gain of several MIMO solutions wrt the SISO one (in case of no correlation between the antennas as CDF)
- The SINR distribution can be approximated with a log-normal one with different mean and variance as a function of the scheme considered (i.e., SISO, MIMO-Alamouti, MIMO-MMSE, MIMO-OSIC-MMSE and MIMO-ZF)
- Variances are not so different and they are approximatively equal to the one of the SISO mode already included in the shadowing component of the BuildingsPropagationLossModel
- MIMO can be modeled as different gains for different TX modes respect to the SISO





UE Measurements



- UE has to report a set of measurements of the eNBs to the eNB, and together with the associated physical cell identity (PCI)
 - **reference signal received power (RSRP)** ~ “average” power across the RBs
 - **reference signal received quality (RSRQ)** ~ “average” ratio between the power of the cell and the total power received across all the RBs
- Measurements are performed during the reception of the RS
- PCI is received with the Primary Synchronization Signal (PSS)
- RSRP is reported by PHY layer in dBm while RSRQ in dB through the C-PHY SAP every 200 ms.
- Layer 1 filtering is performed by averaging all the measurements collected during the last window slot.






HARQ model


- Model implemented is *soft combining hybrid IR Full incremental redundancy* (also called IR Type II)
- Asynchronous model for DL
 - Dedicated feedback (ideal)
- Synchronous model for UL
 - After 7 ms of the original transmission
- Retransmissions managed by Scheduler
 - Retransmissions are mixed with new one (retx has higher priority)
 - Up to 4 redundancy version (RV) per each HARQ block
- Integrated with error model
 - New rates due to the “soft combination” of the codeblocks
 - Extend the original ones with the ones of RVs with lower R_{eff} of each modulation order



$$R_{eff} = \frac{X}{\sum_{i=1}^q C_i}$$

X no. of info bits
 C_i no. of coded bits





MAC & Scheduler model

- Resource allocation model:
 - allocation type 0
 - RBs grouped into RBGs, of different size depending on the bandwidth
 - localized mapping approach (2 slots of the RBG to the same UE)

| System Bandwidth N_{RB}^{DL} | RBG Size (P) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| ≤ 10 | 1 |
| 11 – 26 | 2 |
| 27 – 63 | 3 |
| 64 – 110 | 4 |

- Transport Block model
 - Mimics 3GPP structure
 - mux RLC PDU onto MAC PDU
 - Virtual MAC Headers and CEs (no real bits)
 - MAC overhead not modeled
 - Consistent with requirements (scheduler neglects MAC OH)



Adaptive Modulation and Coding (AMC)


- Two algorithms working on reported CQI feedback
 - **Piro** model: based on analytical BER (very conservative)


$$\text{BER} = 0.00005$$
$$\Gamma = \frac{-\ln(5 * \text{BER})}{1.5} \quad \gamma_i \text{ SINR of UE } i$$
$$\eta_i = \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{\gamma_i}{\Gamma} \right)$$



- **Vienna** model: aim at max 10% BLER as defined in TS 36.213 based on error model curves
 - The scheme adapts the MCS to the actual PHY performance, based on CQI report.
 - It selects the highest MCS that has a BLER below 10%.

- Dynamic TX mode selection supported
 - Interface present in the scheduler interface
 - but no adaptive algorithm currently implemented







MAC Scheduler implementations

- Round Robin (RR)
 - Proportional Fair (PF)
 - Maximum Throughput (MT)
 - Throughput to Average (TTA)
 - Blind Average Throughput (BET)
 - Token Bank Fair Queue (TBFQ)
 - Priority Set Scheduler (PSS)
 - Channel and QoS Aware Scheduler (CQA)
 - B. Bojovic, N. Baldo, A new Channel and QoS Aware Scheduler to enhance the capacity of Voice over LTE systems , In Proceedings of 11th SSD, Feb 2014, Castelldefels (Spain)
- LENA project
- GSoC 2012
- All implementations based on the **FemtoForum API**
 - The above algorithms are for downlink only
 - For uplink, all current implementations use the same Round Robin algorithm
 - Assumption: HARQ has always higher priority wrt new data



Round Robin

- Divide the available resources among the active UEs (i.e., the ones with at least one LC with buffer !=0)
- If no. of UEs > no. RBs
 - Circular buffer allocation
- The MCS for each user depends on the wideband CQI






Proportional Fair

- Schedule a user when its instantaneous channel quality is high relative to its own average channel condition over time
- Defines per each UE i the *achievable rate* as

$$R_i(k, t) = \frac{S(M_{i,k}(t), 1)}{\tau} \quad \begin{array}{l} M_{i,k}(t) \text{ MCS usable by user on resource block} \\ \tau \quad \text{TTI duration} \end{array}$$


- At RBG k pick the user that maximizes


$$\hat{i}_k(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{j=1, \dots, N} \left(\frac{R_j(k, t)}{T_j(t)} \right) \quad \begin{array}{l} T_j(t) \text{ past throughput perceived by the user } j \\ T_j(t) = (1 - \frac{1}{\alpha})T_j(t-1) + \frac{1}{\alpha}\hat{T}_j(t) \end{array}$$



achievable rate ratio $\rho_{R,i} = \frac{R_i}{\sum_{j=1}^N R_j}$

achievable throughput ratio $\rho_{T,i} = \frac{T_i}{\sum_{j=1}^N T_j}$





GSoC 2012 Schedulers

- Maximum Throughput (MT)

$$R_i(k, t) = \frac{S(M_{i,k}(t), 1)}{\tau} \quad \hat{i}_k(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{j=1, \dots, N} (R_j(k, t))$$

$M_{i,k}(t)$ MCS usable by user on resource block
 τ TTI duration
Calculated by subband CQI

- Throughput to Average (TTA)

$$\hat{i}_k(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{j=1, \dots, N} \left(\frac{R_j(k, t)}{R_j(t)} \right)$$

It relates achievable throughput calculated per subband CQI vs wideband CQI

- Blind Average Throughput (BET)

$$\hat{i}_k(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{j=1, \dots, N} \left(\frac{1}{T_j(t)} \right)$$


It aims at same throughput per all UEs
 $T_j(t)$ past throughput perceived by the user j

- Token Bank Fair Queue (TBFQ)

- leaky-bucket mechanism

- Priority Set Scheduler (PSS)

- controls the fairness among UEs by a specified Target Bit Rate (TBR) defined with QCI bearer primitive




RLC Model


- Supported modes:
 - RLC TM, UM, AM as per 3GPP specs
 - RLC SM: simplified full-buffer model
- Features
 - PDUs and headers with real bits (following 3GPP specs)
 - Segmentation
 - Concatanation
 - Reassembly
 - SDU discard
 - Status PDU (AM only)
 - PDU retx (AM only)
- Unsupported features
 - Fragmentation of ReTx PDUs (resegmentation)



PDCP model

- Simplified model supporting the following:
 - Headers with real bytes following 3GPP specs
 - transfer of data (both user and control plane)
 - maintenance of PDCP SNs (sequence numbers)
 - transfer of SN status (for handover)
- Unsupported features
 - header compression and decompression using ROHC
 - in-sequence delivery of upper layer PDUs at re-establishment of lower layers
 - duplicate elimination of lower layer SDUs at re-establishment of lower layers for radio bearers mapped on RLC AM
 - ciphering and deciphering of user plane data and control plane data
 - integrity protection and integrity verification of control plane data
 - timer based discard







RRC Model features

- Initial cell selection
 - Cell search (based on RSRP of the received PSS)
 - Broadcast of system information (MIB, SIB1, SIB2)
 - Cell selection evaluation
- RRC Connection Establishment
- RRC Connection Reconfiguration, supporting:
 - SRB1 and DRB setup
 - SRS configuration index reconfiguration
 - PHY TX mode (MIMO) reconfiguration
 - Mobility Control Info (handover)
- UE Measurements
 - Event-based triggering supported (events A1 to A5)
 - Assumption: 1-to-1 PCI to EGCI mapping
 - Only E-UTRA intra-frequency; no measurement gaps

Random Access model


- Random Access preamble transmission
 - Ideal model: no propagation / error model
 - Collisions modeled with protocol interference model
 - No capture effect \Rightarrow contention resolution not modeled
- Random Access Response (RAR)
 - ideal message, no error model
 - In real system is a special PDU sent on DL-SCH
 - resource consumption can be modeled by enhanced scheduler
- Message3 – RRC connection request
 - UL grant allocated by Scheduler
 - RLC TM PDU with actual bytes, subject to error model
- Contention resolution is not modeled
- Supported modes:
 - Contention based (for connection establishment)
 - Non-contention based (for handover)






RRC Model architecture



- LteUeRrc: UE RRC logic
- LteEnbRrc + UeManager: eNB RRC logic
- Two models for RRC messages
 - Ideal RRC
 - SRBs not used, no resources consumed, no errors
 - Real RRC
 - actual RRC PDUs transmitted over SRBs
 - with ASN.1 encoding



RRC UE state machine




RRC eNB State Machine





Handover Support



- API for Handover Algorithms (GSoC 2013)
 - Measurement configuration
 - Measurement report handling
 - Handover triggering
- Available handover algorithms:
 - No-op
 - A2-A4-RSRQ
 - Strongest cell handover (A3-based)
 - <your algorithm here>



Handover example scenario








Handover behavior





FFR Algorithms





- GSoC 2014
- FFR algorithms fit in Self Organized Network algorithms
- The LTE standard does not provide the design of FFR algorithms (left to vendor)
- Usually eNB uses same carrier frequency and system bandwidth to serve all of its users: **FFR= 1**
- FFR divides available bandwidth into sub-bands with different FFR and different TX power setting
 - Combination of scheduling and power control functionalities
- Currently 7 FFR algorithms are implemented












FFR Algorithms


- Full Frequency Reuse (*no-op*)
- Hard Frequency Reuse
- Strict Frequency Reuse
- Soft Frequency Reuse (two versions)
- Soft Fractional Frequency Reuse
- Enhanced Fractional Frequency Reuse
- Distributed Frequency Reuse Scheme



- More info:

- P. Gawłowicz, N. Baldo, M. Miozzo, “An Extension of the ns-3 LTE Module to Simulate Fractional Frequency Reuse Algorithms”, in Proceedings of Workshop on ns-3 (WNS3 2015), 13 May 2015, Barcelona (Spain).






Carrier Aggregation



- Funded and initiated through GSoC2015
- Component Carriers are divided in:
 - 1 Primary Component Carrier (PCC)
 - Several Secondary Component Carriers (SCCs)
- The SCCs include the legacy LTE stack from MAC to PHY layer
- SCCs can be created only in LTE bands
- Scheduler works in a total autonomous fashion
 - Each CC has its own system information (e.g., DCIs, CQIs, etc.)
- LteEnbComponentCarrierManager is in charge of dispatching data among CCs:
 - Only PCC is working in the current implementation
 - Load balancing procedures among CCs can be implemented


Control Plane






Data Plane








Flow of information




LENA model overview





NAS model

- It is a protocol which allows UE to talk to MME
- Focus on NAS Active state
 - EMM (EPS Mobility management) Registered, ECM (EPS connection management) connected, RRC connected
- Logical interaction with MME
 - NAS PDUs not implemented
- Functionality
 - UE Attachment (transition to NAS Active state)
 - EPS Bearer activation
 - Multiplexing of data onto active EPS Bearers
 - Based on Traffic Flow Templates
 - Both UDP and TCP over IPv4 are supported
- Unsupported features
 - PLMN and CSG selection
 - Idle mode (tracking area update, paging...)




S1 interface model

- S1-U (user data plane)
 - Realistic model including GTP-U implementation
 - Data packets forwarded over GTP/UDP/IPv4
 - Communication over ns3::PointToPoint links
- S1-C (control plane)
 - abstract model, no PDUs exchanged
 - Supported S1-AP primitives:
 - INITIAL UE MESSAGE
 - INITIAL CONTEXT SETUP REQUEST
 - INITIAL CONTEXT SETUP RESPONSE
 - PATH SWITCH REQUEST
 - PATH SWITCH REQUEST ACKNOWLEDGE

X2 interface model

- X2-U (data plane)
 - GTP/UDP/IPv4 over ns3::PointToPoint (similar to S1-U)
- X2-C (control plane)
 - Hybrid model
 - Messages as PDUs over ns3::PointToPoint links
 - Encoded with no standard formats
 - Handover primitives:
 - HANDOVER REQUEST
 - HANDOVER REQUEST ACK
 - HANDOVER PREPARATION FAILURE
 - SN STATUS STRANSFER
 - UE CONTEXT RELEASE
 - SON primitives:
 - LOAD INFORMATION
 - RESOURCE STATUS UPDATE




S11 interface model

- abstract model
 - no GTP-C PDUs exchanged between MME and SGW
- Supported primitives:
 - CREATE SESSION REQUEST
 - CREATE SESSION RESPONSE
 - MODIFY BEARER REQUEST
 - MODIFY BEARER RESPONSE

Simulation Configuration


- Done via ns-3 attribute system
- Several configurable attributes per LTE object
- Default attribute values can be configured:
 - Via input config file
 - Via command line
 - within simulation program
- Per-instance attribute values can be configured:
 - Within simulation program
 - Using GtkConfigStore







Simulation Output


- Lots of KPIs available at different levels:
 - Channel
 - SINR maps
 - pathloss matrices
 - PHY
 - TB tx / rx traces
 - RSRP/RSRQ traces
 - MAC
 - UL/DL scheduling traces
 - RLC and PDCP
 - Time-averaged PDU tx / rx stats
 - RLC considers only MAC delay, PDCP also RLC queues one
 - IP and application stats
 - Can be obtained with usual ns-3 means
 - FlowMonitor, PCAP traces, get stats directly from app, etc.



Example: 3GPP dual stripe scenario



Example: 3GPP dual stripe scenario




NOTES:

- points are modelled as nodes
- SINR is evaluated considering the strongest signal as the one of the serving eNB

Testing

- Huge effort in testing:
 - Unit tests
 - Checking that a specific module works properly
 - System test
 - Checking that the whole LTE model works properly
 - Validation tests
 - Validating simulation output against theoretical performance in a set of known cases
 - Valgrind test coverage
 - Systematically check for memory errors
 - memory corruption, leaks, etc. due to programming errors
 - Build tests
 - Provided by ns-3 project for stable LENA code
 - Verify correct build on all supported platforms
 - LENA dev code tested daily on ubuntu



Documentation

LTE module documentation

- Part of the ns-3 models library docs
- <https://www.nsnam.org/docs/models/html/lte.html>

