



Contributing to ns-3

Release ns-3.36.1

ns-3 project

Jul 07, 2022

CONTENTS

1	Introduction	3
2	General	5
2.1	Licensing	5
2.2	Copyright	5
2.3	Attribution	7
2.4	Coding style	7
2.5	Creating a patch	8
2.6	Maintainers	8
3	Submitting enhancements	9
3.1	GitLab.com trackers	9
3.2	Reporting issues	9
3.3	Submitting merge requests	10
3.4	Feature requests	12
4	Submitting new models	15
4.1	Options for new models	15
4.2	Upstreaming new models	16
4.3	Code reviews	16
4.4	Submission structure	16
4.5	Documentation, tests, and examples	17
5	Submitting externally maintained code	19
5.1	Rationale for the app store	19
5.2	App types	20
5.3	Submitting to the app store	20
5.4	Code review for apps	21
5.5	Maintaining app store modules	21
5.6	Links to related projects	22
5.7	Unmaintained, contributed code	22
6	Coding style	23
6.1	Code layout	23
6.2	Naming	24
6.3	File layout and code organization	25
6.4	Language features	27
6.5	Comments	27
6.6	Casts	28
6.7	Miscellaneous items	28

This is the guide to *Contributing to ns-3*. Primary documentation for the ns-3 project is available in several forms:

- [ns-3 Doxygen](#): Documentation of the public APIs of the simulator
- Tutorial for the [latest release](#) and [development tree](#)
- [Contributing to ns-3](#) (this document)
- Manual, and Model Library for the [latest release](#) and [development tree](#)
- [ns-3 wiki](#)

This document is written in [reStructuredText](#) for [Sphinx](#) and is maintained in the `doc/contributing` directory of ns-3's source code.

INTRODUCTION

This document is a guide for those who wish to contribute code to *ns-3* or its related projects in some way.

Changes to *ns-3* software are made by or reviewed by *maintainers*. *ns-3* has a small core team of maintainers, and also some specialized maintainers who maintain a portion of the software. The source code branch that is centrally maintained is sometimes called the *mainline*, a term used further herein. End users are encouraged to report issues and bugs, or to propose software or documentation modifications, to be reviewed by and handled by maintainers. End users can also help by reviewing code proposals made by others. Some end users who contribute high quality patches or code reviews over time may ask or be invited to become a maintainer of software within their areas of expertise. Finally, some end users wish to disseminate their *ns-3* work to the community, through the addition of new features or modules to *ns-3*.

A question often asked by newcomers is “How can I contribute to *ns-3*?” or “How do I get started?”. This document summarizes the various ways and processes used to contribute to *ns-3*. Contribution by users is essential for a project maintained largely by volunteers. However, one of the most scarce resources on an open source project is the available time of maintainers, so we ask contributors to please become familiar with conventions and processes used by *ns-3* so as to smooth the contribution process.

The very first step is to become familiar with *ns-3* by reading the tutorial, running some example programs, and then reading some of the code and documentation to get a feel for things. From that point, there are a number of options to contribute:

- Contributing a small documentation correction
- Reporting or discussing a bug in the software
- Fixing an already reported bug by submitting a patch
- Reviewing code contributed by others
- Submitting new code for inclusion in the mainline
- Alerting users to code that is maintained or archived elsewhere
- Submitting a module or fork for publishing in the *ns-3* app store
- Becoming a maintainer of part of the simulator

ns-3 is mainly a C++ project, and this contribution guide focuses on how to contribute *ns-3* code specifically, but the overall open source project maintains various related codebases written in several other languages, so if you are interested in contributing outside of *ns-3* C++ code, there are several possibilities:

- *ns-3* provides Python bindings to most of its API, and maintains an automated API scanning process that relies on other tools. We can use maintenance help in the C++/Python bindings support.
- Another Python project is the [bake build tool](#), which has a number of open issues.
- See also our Python-based [PyViz visualizer](#); extensions and documentation would be welcome.
- The [NetAnim](#) animator is written in [Qt](#) and has lacked a maintainer for several years.

- If you are interested in Linux kernel hacking, or use of applications in *ns-3* such as open source routing daemons, we maintain the [Direct Code Execution project](#).
- If you are familiar with [Django](#), we have work to do on [our app store infrastructure](#).
- Our [website](#) is written in [Jekyll](#) and is in need of more work.

The remainder of this document is organized as follows.

- Chapter 2 covers general issues surrounding code and documentation contributions, including license and copyright;
- Chapter 3 describes approaches for contributing small enhancements to the *ns-3* mainline, such as a documentation or bug fix;
- Chapter 4 outlines the approach for proposing new models for the mainline;
- Chapter 5 describes how to contribute code that will be stored outside of the *ns-3* mainline, with emphasis on the *ns-3 AppStore*; and
- Chapter 6 provides the coding style guidelines that are mandatory for the *ns-3* mainline and strongly suggested for contributed modules.

GENERAL

This section pertains to general topics about licensing, coding style, and working with Git features, including patch submission.

2.1 Licensing

All code submitted must conform to the project licensing framework, which is [GNU GPLv2](#) compatibility. All new source files should contain a license statement. In general, we ask that new source files be provided with a GNU GPLv2 license, but the author may select another GNU GPLv2-compatible license if necessary. GNU GPLv3 is not accepted in the *ns-3* mainline. Note that the Free Software Foundation maintains [a list](#) of GPLv2-compatible licenses.

If a contribution is based upon or contains copied code that itself uses GNU GPLv2, then the author should in most cases retain the GPLv2 and optionally extend the copyright and/or the author (or ‘Modified by’) statements.

If a contribution is borrowed from another project under different licensing, the borrowed code must have a compatible license, and the license must be copied over as well as the code. The author may add the GNU GPLv2 if desired, but in such a case, should clarify which aspects of the code (i.e., the modifications) are covered by the GPLv2 and not found in the original. The Software Freedom Law Center has published [guidance](#) on handling this case.

Note that it is incumbent upon the submitter to make sure that the licensing attribution is correct and that the code is suitable for *ns-3* inclusion. Do not include code (even snippets) from sources that have incompatible licenses. Even if the code licenses are compatible, do not copy someone else’s code without attribution.

2.1.1 Documentation Licensing

Licensing for documentation or for material found on *ns-3* websites is covered by the [Creative Commons CC-BY-SA 4.0](#) license, and documentation submissions should be submitted under that license. Please ensure that any documentation submitted does not violate the terms of another copyright holder, and has correct attribution. In particular, copying of substantial portions of an academic journal paper, or copying or redrawing of figures from such a paper, likely requires explicit permission from the copyright holder.

2.2 Copyright

Copyright is a statement of ownership of code, while licensing describes the terms by which the owners of the code permit the reuse of the code.

The *ns-3* project does not maintain copyright of contributed code. Copyright remains with the author(s) or their employer. Because multiple people or organizations work on the *ns-3* code over time, one can think of the project and even individual files as a “mixed copyright” work.

Copyright can be stated when originating files in *ns-3* or when making “substantial” changes to such files; the definition of substantial is open to interpretation. Copyright need not be claimed explicitly by adding a copyright statement; according to copyright laws in many countries, copyright rights are automatic upon publishing a work. Copyright should not be explicitly listed for *all* changes to a file; for instance, patches to fix small things or make small adjustments or improvements are not considered to be subjected to copyright protection.

Use of copyright statements in open source projects, as a means of author attribution, can be controversial, because having a long list of copyright statements on every file impairs readability. Also, since copyright is automatic, there is little formal legal requirement to add a copyright statement. The *ns-3* project has decided to follow the guidance provided by the Software Freedom Law Center in this regard: <https://softwarefreedom.org/resources/2012/ManagingCopyrightInformation.html>

2.2.1 Copyright on new files

When originating a new file, the originating author should place his or her copyright statement at the top in the header, preceding the copy of the license. An important exception to this is if the new file is copied from somewhere else and modified to make the new file; please do not delete the previous copyrights from the copyright file! See below for this case.

An example placement of a copyright statement can be found in the file `src/network/model/packet.h`:

```
/* -*- Mode:C++; c-file-style:"gnu"; indent-tabs-mode:nil; -*- */
/*
 * Copyright (c) 2005,2006 INRIA
 *
 * This program is free software; you can redistribute it and/or modify
 * it under the terms of the GNU General Public License version 2 as
 * published by the Free Software Foundation;
```

2.2.2 Copyright on existing files

When providing a substantial feature (maintainers and contributors should mutually agree on this point) as a patch to an existing file, the contributor may add a copyright statement that clarifies the new portion of code that is covered by the new copyright. An example is the program `src/lte/model/lte-ue-phy.h`:

```
/* -*- Mode:C++; c-file-style:"gnu"; indent-tabs-mode:nil; -*- */
/*
 * Copyright (c) 2010 TELEMATICS LAB, DEE - Politecnico di Bari
 * Copyright (c) 2018 Fraunhofer ESK : RLF extensions
 *
 * This program is free software; you can redistribute it and/or modify
 * it under the terms of the GNU General Public License version 2 as
 * published by the Free Software Foundation;
```

Here, Fraunhofer ESK added extensions to support radio link failure (RLF), and the copyright statement clarifies the extension (separated from the organization by a colon).

2.2.3 Copyright on external code copied from elsewhere

If a contributor borrows code from somewhere else (such as a snippet of code to implement an algorithm, or whole files altogether), it is important to keep the original copyright (and license statement) in the new file. Contributors who fail to do this may be accused of plagiarism.

Some existing examples of code copied from elsewhere are:

- `src/network/utils/error-model.h`
- `src/core/model/valgrind.h`
- `src/core/model/math.h`

If in doubt about how to handle a specific case, ask a maintainer.

2.3 Attribution

Besides copyright, authors also often seek to list attribution, or even credit for funding, in the headers. We request that contributors refrain from aggressively inserting statements of attribution into the code such as:

```
// New Hello Timer implementation contributed by John Doe, 2014
```

especially for small touches to files, because, over time, it clutters the code. Git logs are used to track who contributed what over time.

Likewise, if someone contributes a minor enhancement or a bug fix to an existing file, this is not typically justification to insert an `Authored by` or `Copyright` statement at the top of the file. If everyone who touched a file did this, we would end up with unwieldy lists of authors on many files. In general, we recommend to follow these guidelines:

- if you are authoring a new file or contributing a substantial portion of code (such as 30% or more new or changed statements), you can list yourself as co-author or add a new copyright to the file header
- if you are modifying less than the above, please refrain from adding copyright or author statements as part of your patch
- do not put your name or your organization's name anywhere in the main body of the code, for attribution purposes

An example of a substantial modification that led to extension of the authors section of the header can be found in `src/lte/model/lte-ue-phy.h`:

```
* Author: Giuseppe Piro <g.piro@poliba.it>
* Author: Marco Miozzo <mmiozzo@cttc.es>
* Modified by:
*     Vignesh Babu <ns3-dev@esk.fraunhofer.de> (RLF extensions)
*/
```

Here, there were two original authors, and then a third added a substantial new feature (RLF extensions).

Please work with maintainers to balance the competing concerns of obtaining proper attribution and avoiding long headers.

2.4 Coding style

We ask that all contributors make their code conform to the coding standard which is outlined in [Coding style](#).

The project maintains a Python program called `check-style.py` found in the `utils/` directory. This is a wrapper around the `uncrustify` utility with configuration set to the conventions used by `ns-3`, and can be used to quickly format new source code files proposed for the mainline.

2.5 Creating a patch

Patches are preferably submitted as a GitLab.com [Merge Request](#). Short patches can be attached to an issue report or sent to the mailing-lists, but a Merge Request is the best way to submit.

The UNIX diff tool is the most common way of producing a patch: a patch is a text-based representation of the difference between two text files or two directories with text files in them. If you have two files, `original.cc`, and, `modified.cc`, you can generate a patch with the command `diff -u original.cc modified.cc`. If you wish to produce a patch between two directories, use the command `diff -uprN original modified`.

Make sure you specify to the reviewer where the original files came from and make sure that the resulting patch file does not contain unexpected content by performing a final inspection of the patch file yourself.

Patches such as this are sufficient for simple bug fixes or very simple small features.

Git can be used to create a patch of what parts differ from the last committed code; try:

```
$ git diff
```

The output of `git diff` can be redirected into a patch file:

```
$ git diff > proposal.patch
```

Keep in mind that `git diff` could include unrelated changes made locally to files in the repository (a common example is `.vscode/launch.json`). In order to avoid cluttering, please amend your diff file using a normal text editor before submitting it.

Likewise, if you submit a merge request using GitLab, please add only the changes to the relevant files to the branch you're using for the merge request.

2.6 Maintainers

Maintainers are the set of people who make decisions on code and documentation changes. Maintainers are contributors who have demonstrated, over time, knowledge and good judgment as pertains to contributions to *ns-3*, and who have expressed willingness to maintain some code. *ns-3* is like other open source projects in terms of how people gradually become maintainers as their involvement with the project deepens; maintainers are not newcomers to the project.

The list of maintainers for each module is found here: <https://www.nsnam.org/developers/maintainers/>

Maintainers review code (bug fixes, new models) within scope of their maintenance responsibility. A maintainer of a module should “sign off” (or approve of) changes to an *ns-3* module before it is committed to the main codebase. Note that we typically do not formalize the signing off using Git’s sign off feature, but instead, maintainers will indicate their approval of the merge request using GitLab.com.

Note that some modules do not have active maintainers; these types of modules typically receive less maintenance attention than those with active maintainers (and bugs may linger unattended).

The best way to become a maintainer is to start by submitting patches that fix open bugs or otherwise improve some part of the simulator, and to join in on the technical discussions. People who submit quality patches will catch the attention of the maintainers and may be asked to become one at some future date.

People who ask to upstream a new module or model so that it is part of the main *ns-3* distribution will typically be asked to maintain it going forward (or to find new maintainers for it).

SUBMITTING ENHANCEMENTS

This chapter covers how to submit fixes and small patches for the existing mainline code and its documentation.

Enhancements (new models) can be proposed for the mainline, and maintainers will make a decision on whether to include it as mainline or recommend that it be supported in the ns-3 App Store. Documentation on hosting code in the ns-3 App Store is provided in the next chapter (*Submitting externally maintained code*). This chapter provides guidance on submitting code for inclusion in the mainline (and much of it applies also as best practice for app store code).

3.1 GitLab.com trackers

ns-3 uses two trackers to keep track of known issues or submitted code. Maintainers prefer to list everything on the tracker so that issues do not slip through the cracks. Users are encouraged to use these to report or comment on issues or merge requests; this requires users to obtain a GitLab.com account.

If a user wants to report an issue with *ns-3*, please first search the [issue tracker](#) for something that may be similar, and if nothing is found, please report the new issue.

If a user wants to submit proposed new code for *ns-3*, please submit on the [merge request tracker](#).

More details for each are provided below. Similarly, users who want to report issues on other related repositories under the *nsnam* project (such as the [Bake build system](#)) should follow similar steps there.

3.2 Reporting issues

Issues can be reported against the code or the documentation, if you believe that something is incorrect or could be improved. The key to reporting an issue with the code is to try to provide as much information as possible to allow a maintainer to quickly reproduce the problem. After you've determined which module your bug is related to, if it is inside the official distribution (mainline), then create an issue, label it with the name of the module, and provide as much information as possible.

First, perform a cursory search on the [open issue list](#) to see if the problem has already been reported. If it has and the issue is still open, add a comment to the existing issue instead of opening a new one.

If you are reporting an issue against an older version of *ns-3*, please scan the most recent [Release Notes](#) to see if it has been fixed since that release.

If you then decide to list an issue, include details of your environment:

1. Which version of ns-3 are you using?
2. What's the name and version of the OS you're using?
3. Which modules do you have installed?

4. Have you modified ns-3-dev in any way?

Here are some additional guidelines:

1. Use a clear and descriptive title for the issue to identify the problem.
2. Describe the exact steps which reproduce the problem in as many details as possible. For example, start by explaining how you coded your script; e.g. which functions were called in what order, or else provide an example program. If your program includes modifications to the ns-3 core or a module, please list them (or even better, provide the diffs).
3. Provide specific examples to demonstrate the steps. Include links to files or projects, or copy/pasteable snippets, which you use in those examples. If you're providing snippets in the issue, use Markdown code block formatting.
4. Describe the behavior you observed after following the steps and point out what exactly is the problem with that behavior. Explain which behavior you expected to see instead and why.
5. If you're reporting that ns-3 crashed, include a crash report with a stack trace from the operating system. On macOS, the crash report will be available in Console.app under “*Diagnostic and usage information*” > “*User diagnostic reports*”. Include the crash report in the issue in a code block, or a file attachment.
6. If the problem is related to performance or memory, include a CPU profile capture with your report.

Some issues have suggested resolutions that are trivial and do not require submitting a merge request. For more complicated resolutions, if you have a patch to propose, either attach it to the issue, or submit a merge request (described next).

3.3 Submitting merge requests

To submit code proposed for *ns-3* as one or more commits, use a merge request. The following steps are recommended to smooth the process.

If you are new to public Git repositories, you may want to read [this overview of merge requests](#). If you are familiar with GitHub pull requests, the GitLab.com merge requests are very similar.

In brief, you will want to fork ns-3-dev into your own namespace (i.e., fork the repository into your personal GitLab.com account, via the user interface on GitLab.com), clone your fork of ns-3-dev to your local machine, create a new feature branch that is based on the current tip of ns-3-dev, push that new feature branch up to your fork, and then from the GitLab.com user interface, generate a Merge Request back to the *ns-3* mainline. You will want to monitor and respond to any comments from reviewers, and try to resolve threads.

3.3.1 Remember the documentation

If you add or change API to the simulator, please include [Doxygen](#) changes as appropriate. Please scan the module documentation (written in [Restructured Text](#) in the *docs* directory) to check if an update is needed to align with the patch proposal.

3.3.2 Commit message format

Commit messages should be written as follows. For examples, please look at the output of *git log* command.

1. The author string should be formatted such as “John Doe <john.doe@example.com>”. It is a good idea to run `git config` on your machine, or hand-edit the `.gitconfig` file in your home directory, to ensure that your name and email are how you want them to be displayed.

2. The first line of the commit message should be limited to 72 columns if possible. This is not a hard requirement but a preference. If you prefer to add more detail, you can add subsequent message lines below the first one, separated by a blank line. Example:

```
commit e6ca9be6fb5a0592a44967f7885545dce3a6da1a
Author: Rediet <getachew.redieteab@orange.com>
Date:   Wed May 19 16:34:01 2021 +0200

    lte: Assign default values

Fixes crashing optimized/release builds with 'may be used uninitialized' error
```

3. The first line of the commit message should include the relevant module name or names, separated by a colon. Example:

```
commit 15ab50c03132a5f7686045014c6bedf10ac7d421
Author: Stefano Avallone <stavallo@unina.it>
Date:   Wed Jan 27 14:58:54 2021 +0100

    wifi,wave,mesh: Rescan python bindings
```

4. If the commit fixes an issue in the issue tracker, list it in parentheses after the colon (by saying ‘fixes #NNN’ where NNN is the issue number). This reference alerts future readers to an issue where more may be discussed about the commit. Example:

```
commit 10ef08140ab2a9f2b550f24d1e881e76ea0873ff
Author: Tom Henderson <tomh@tomh.org>
Date:   Fri May 21 11:11:33 2021 -0700

    network: (fixes #404) Use Queue::Dispose() for SimpleNetDevice::DoDispose()
```

5. If the commit is from a merge request, that may also be added in a similar way the same by saying ‘merges !NNN’. The exclamation point differentiates merge requests from issues (which use the number sign ‘#’) on GitLab.com. Example:

```
commit d4258b2b32d6254b878eca9200271fa3f4ee7174
Author: Tom Henderson <tomh@tomh.org>
Date:   Sat Mar 27 09:56:55 2021 -0700

    build: (merges !584) Exit configuration if path whitespace detected
```

Here is an example making use of both:

```
commit a97574779b575af70d975f9e2ca899e2405cf497
Author: Federico Guerra <federico@guerra-tlc.com>
Date:   Tue Jan 14 21:14:37 2020 +0100

    uan: (fixes #129, merges !162) EndTx moved to PhyListener
```

6. Use the present tense (“Add feature”, not “Added feature”) and the imperative mood (“Move cursor to ...”, not “Moves cursor to ...”).

3.3.3 No extraneous white space

Do not make whitespace changes to unrelated parts of the code (unrelated to your merge request). This can often happen if the *check-style.py* program is used on existing files. Use the automated style correction program *check-style.py* only on your *new* source code files.

3.3.4 Squashing your history

In the course of developing and responding to review comments, you may add more commits, so what started out as a single commit might grow into several. Please consider to squash any such revisions if they do not need to be preserved as separate commits in the mainline Git history.

If you squash commits, you must force-push your branch back to your fork. Do not worry about this; GitLab.com will update the Merge Request automatically. This [tutorial](#) may be helpful to learn about Git rebase, force-push, and merge conflicts.

Note that GitLab can squash the commits while merging. However, it is often preferred to keep multiple commit messages, especially when the merge request contains multiple parts or multiple authors.

It is a good practice to NOT squash commits while the merge request is being reviewed and updated (this helps the reviewers), and perform a selective squash before the merge.

3.3.5 Rebasing on ns-3-dev

It is also helpful to maintainers to keep your feature branch up to date so that the commits are appended to the tip of the mainline code. This is not strictly required; maintainers may do such a rebase upon merging your finalized Merge Request. This may help catch possible merge conflicts before the time to merge arrives.

Note that sometimes it is not possible to rebase a merge request through GitLab's web interface. Hence, it is a good practice to keep your merge request in line with the mainline (i.e., rebase it periodically and push the updated branch).

3.3.6 Resolving discussion threads

Any time someone opens a new comment thread on a Merge Request, a counter of 'Unresolved threads' is incremented (near the top of the Merge Request). If you are able to successfully resolve the comment thread (either by changing your code, or convincing the reviewer that no change is needed), then please mark the thread as resolved. Maintainers will look at the count of unresolved threads and make decisions based on this count as to whether the Merge Request is ready. Maintainers prefer that all threads are resolved successfully before moving forward with a merge.

3.3.7 Adding a label

You can use labels to indicate whether the Merge Request is a bug, pertains to a specific module or modules, is documentation related, etc. This is not required; if you do not add a label, a maintainer probably will.

3.3.8 Other metadata

It is not necessary to set other metadata on the Merge Request such as milestone, reviewers, etc.

3.4 Feature requests

Feature requests are tracked as [GitLab.com issues](#). If you want to suggest an enhancement, create an issue and provide the following information:

1. Use a clear and descriptive title for the issue to identify the suggestion.
2. Provide a step-by-step description of the suggested enhancement in as many details as possible.

3. Provide specific examples to demonstrate the steps. Include copy/pasteable snippets which you use in those examples.
4. Describe the current behavior and explain which behavior you expected to see instead and why.
5. Explain why this enhancement would be useful to most ns-3 users.

The *ns-3* project does not have professional developers available to respond to feature requests, so your best bet is to try to implement it yourself and work with maintainers to improve it, but the project does like to hear back from users about what would be a useful improvement, and you may find like-minded collaborators in the community willing to work on it with you.

Use the *enhancement* Label on your feature request.

SUBMITTING NEW MODELS

We actively encourage submission of new features to *ns-3*. Independent submissions are essential for open source projects, and if accepted into the mainline, you will also be credited as an author of future versions of *ns-3*. However, please keep in mind that there is already a large burden on the *ns-3* maintainers to manage the flow of incoming contributions and maintain new and existing code. The goal of this chapter is to outline the options for new models in *ns-3*, and how you can help to minimize the burden on maintainers and thus minimize the average time-to-merge of your code.

4.1 Options for new models

ns-3 is organized into modules, each of which is compiled and linked into a separate library. Users can selectively enable and disable the inclusion of modules (via the `-enable-modules` argument to `ns3 configure`, or via the selective inclusion of contributed modules).

When proposing new models to *ns-3*, please keep in mind that not all models will be accepted into the mainline. However, we aim to provide at least one or more options for any code contribution.

Because of the long-term maintenance burden, *ns-3* is no longer accepting all new proposals into the mainline. Features that are of general interest are more likely to be approved for the mainline, but features that are more specialized may be recommended for the [ns-3 App Store](#). Some modules that have been in the mainline for a long time, but fall out of use (or lose their maintainers) may also be moved out of the mainline into the App Store in the future.

The options for publishing new models are:

1. Propose a Merge Request for the *ns-3* mainline and follow the guidelines below.
2. Organize your code as a “contributed module” or modules and maintain them in your own public Git repository. A page on the App Store can be made to advertise this to users, and other tooling can be used to ensure that the module stays compatible with the mainline.
3. Organize your code as a “public fork” that evolves separately from the *ns-3* mainline. This option is sometimes chosen for models that require significant intrusive changes to the *ns-3* mainline to support. Some recent examples include the Public Safety models and the millimeter-wave extensions to *ns-3*. This has the benefit of being self-contained, but the drawback of losing compatibility with the mainline over time. A page on the App Store can be made for these forks as well. For maintenance reasons and improved user experience, we prefer to upstream mainline changes so that public forks can be avoided.
4. Archive your code somewhere, or publish in a Git repository, and link to it from the *ns-3* [Contributed Code](#) wiki page. This option requires the least amount of work from the contributor, but visibility of the code to new *ns-3* users will likely be reduced. To follow this route, obtain a wiki account from the webmaster, and make edits as appropriate to link your code.

The remainder of the chapter concerns option 1 (upstreaming to `ns-3-dev`); the other options are described in the next chapter ([Submitting externally maintained code](#)).

4.2 Upstreaming new models

The term “upstreaming” refers to the process whereby new code is contributed back to an upstream source (the main open source project) whereby that project takes responsibility for further enhancement and maintenance.

Making sure that each code submission fulfills as many items as possible in the following checklist is the best way to ensure quick merging of your code.

In brief, we can summarize the guidelines as follows:

1. Be licensed appropriately (see *General*)
2. Understand how and why *ns-3* conducts code reviews before merging
3. Follow the *ns-3* coding style (*Coding style*) and software engineering and consistency feedback that maintainers may provide
4. Write associated documentation, tests, and example programs

If you do not have the time to follow through the process to include your code in the main tree, please see the next chapter (*Submitting externally maintained code*) about contributing *ns-3* code that is not maintained in the main tree.

The process can take a long time when submissions are large or when contributors skip some of these steps. Therefore, best results are found when the following guidelines are followed:

- Ask for review of small chunks of code, rather than large patches. Split large submissions into several more manageable pieces.
- Make sure that the code follows the guidelines (coding style, documentation, tests, examples) or you may be asked to fix these things before maintainers look at it again.

4.3 Code reviews

Code submissions to *ns-3-dev* are expected to go through a review process where one or more maintainers comment on the code. The basic purpose of the code reviews is to ensure the long-term maintenance and overall system integrity of *ns-3*. Contributors may be asked to revise their code or rewrite portions during this process. New features are also typically only merged during the early stages of a new release cycle, to avoid destabilizing code before release.

ns-3 code reviews are conducted using GitLab.com merge requests, possibly supported by discussion on the *ns-developers* mailing list for reviews that involve code that cuts across maintainer boundaries or is otherwise controversial. Many examples of ongoing reviews can be browsed on our [GitLab.com site](#).

4.4 Submission structure

For each code submission, include a description of what your code is doing, and why. Ideally, you should be able to provide a summary description in a short paragraph at the top of the Merge Request. If you want to flag to maintainers that your submission is known to be incomplete (e.g., you are looking for early feedback), preface the title of the Merge Request with `Draft :`.

4.4.1 Coherent and multi-part submissions

Large code submissions should be split into smaller submissions where possible. The likelihood of getting maintainers to review your submission is inversely proportional to its size, because large code reviews require a large block of time for a maintainer. For instance, if you are submitting two routing protocol models and each protocol model can stand on its own, submit two Merge Requests rather than a single one containing both models.

Each submission should be a coherent whole: if you need to edit ten files to get a feature to work, then, the submission should contain all the changes for these ten files. Of course, if you can split the feature in sub-features, then, you should do it to decrease the size of the submission as per the previous paragraph.

For example, if you have made changes to optimize one module, and in the course of doing so, you fixed a bug in another module, make sure you separate these two sets of changes in two separate submissions.

When splitting a large submission into separate submissions, (ideally) these submissions should be prefaced (in the Merge Request description) by a detailed explanation of the overall plan such that code reviewers can review each submission separately but within a larger context. This kind of submission typically is split in multiple dependent steps where each step depends on the previous one. If this is the case, make it very clear in your initial explanation. If you can, minimize dependencies between each step such that reviewers can merge each step separately without having to consider the impact of merging one submission on other submissions.

4.4.2 History rewriting

It is good practice to rebase your feature branch onto the tip of the (evolving) ns-3-dev, so that the commits appear on top of a recent commit of ns-3-dev. For instance, you may have started with an initial Merge Request, and it has been some time to gather feedback from maintainers, and now you want to update your Merge Request with that feedback. A rebase onto the current ns-3-dev master branch is good practice for any Merge Request update.

In addition, in the course of your development, and in responding to reviewer comments, the git commit log will accumulate lots of small commits that are not helpful to future maintainers: they make it more painful to use the annotate and bisect commands. For this reason, it is good practice to “squash” and “rebase” commits into coherent groups. For example, assume that the commit history, after you have responded to a reviewer’s comment, looks like this:

```
commit 4938c01400fb961c37716c3f415f47ba2b04ab5f (HEAD -> master, origin/master, ↵
↪origin/HEAD)
Author: Some Contributor <some.contributor@example.com>
Date:   Mon Jun 15 11:19:49 2020 -0700

    Fix typo in Doxygen based on code review comment

commit 915cf65cb8f464d9398ddd8bea9af167ced64663
Author: Some Contributor <some.contributor@example.com>
Date:   Thu Jun 11 16:44:46 2020 -0400

    Add documentation, tests, and examples for clever protocol

commit a1d51db126fb3d1c7c76427473f02ee792fd53
Author: Some Contributor <some.contributor@example.com>
Date:   Thu Jun 11 16:35:48 2020 -0400

    Add new models for clever protocol
```

In the above case, it will be helpful to squash the top commit about the typo into the first commit where the Doxygen was first written, leaving you again with two commits. [Git interactive rebase](#) is a good tool for this; ask a maintainer for help in doing this if you need some help to learn this process.

4.5 Documentation, tests, and examples

Often we have observed that contributors will provide nice new classes implementing a new model, but will fail to include supporting test code, example scripts, and documentation. Therefore, we ask people submitting the code (who are in the best position to do this type of work) to provide documentation, test code, and example scripts.

Note that you may want to go through multiple phases of code reviews, and all of this supporting material may not be needed at the first stage (e.g. when you want some feedback on public API header declarations only, before starting the implementation). However, when it times come to merge your code, you should be prepared to provide these things, as fits your contribution (maintainers will provide some guidance here).

4.5.1 Including examples

For many submissions, it will be important to include at least one example that exercises the new code, so the reviewer understands how it is intended to be used. For final submission, please consider to add as many examples as you can that will help new users of your code. The `<tt>samples/</tt>` and `<tt>examples/</tt>` directories are places for these files.

4.5.2 Tests

All new models should include automated tests. These should, where appropriate, be unit tests of model correctness, validation tests of stochastic behavior, and overall system tests if the model's interaction with other components must be tested. The test code should try to cover as much model code as possible, and should be checked as much as possible by regression tests.

The ns-3 manual provides documentation and suggestions for [how to write tests](#).

4.5.3 Documentation

If you add a new features, or make changes to existing features, you need to update existing or write new documentation and example code. Consider the following checklist:

- Doxygen should be added to header files for all public classes and methods, and should be checked for Doxygen errors
- new features should be described in the `RELEASE_NOTES.md`
- public API changes (if any) must be documented in `CHANGES.html`
- new API or changes to existing API must update the inline doxygen documentation in header files
- consider updating or adding a new section to the manual (`doc/manual`) or model library (`doc/models`) as appropriate
- update the `AUTHORS` file for any new authors

4.5.4 Module dependencies

Since *ns-3* is a modular system, each module has (typically) dependencies on other modules. These must be kept to the minimum necessary, and circular dependencies must be avoided (they will generate errors). As an example, the `lr-wpan` module depends on `core`, `network`, `mobility`, `spectrum`, and `propagation`. Tests for your new module must not add any other dependency (that was not needed for the model or helper code itself), but examples can use other modules because their module dependencies are handled independently. As an example, the `lr-wpan` examples also use the `stats` module.

This rule might pose limitations to the authoring of tests. In such a case, ask a maintainer for suggestions, or check existing tests to find solutions. Sometimes tests that involve adding additional dependencies are placed into the `src/test` subdirectory.

SUBMITTING EXTERNALLY MAINTAINED CODE

This chapter mainly pertains to code that will be maintained in external repositories (such as a personal or university research group repository, possibly hosted on GitHub or GitLab.com), but for which the contributor wishes to keep consistent and compatible with the *ns-3* mainline.

If the contributor does not want to maintain the external code but wants to make the community aware that it is available with no ongoing support, links to the code can be posted on the *ns-3* wiki contributed code page. A typical example is the graduating student who wishes to make his or her thesis-related code available but who does not plan to update it further. See *Unmaintained, contributed code* below.

However, much of the emphasis of this chapter is on hosting *ns-3* extensions in the *ns-3 App Store*.

5.1 Rationale for the app store

Historically, *ns-3* tried to merge all code contributions (that met the contribution guidelines) to the mainline. However, this approach has reached its limits in terms of scalability:

1. As *ns-3* includes more lines of code, it takes longer to compile, while users typically only need a subset of the available *ns-3* model code.
2. Merging to the mainline requires maintainer participation for code reviews (which can be time consuming for maintainers), resulting in some contributions not being merged due to lack of code reviews.

The app store federates the maintenance of *ns-3* modules, and puts more control in the hands of the contributor to maintain their code. The idea is to provide a central place where users can discover *ns-3* extensions and add them to their *ns-3* installation. One of the drawbacks of this approach, however, is the potential for a lot of compatibility headaches, such as a user trying to use two separately developed modules, but the modules are compatible with different *ns-3* mainline versions. Therefore, the app store is designed to assist in testing and clarifying which version of the external module is compatible with which mainline version of *ns-3*.

In brief, what it means for *ns-3* users is the following. There is an empty directory in the *ns-3* source code tree called *contrib*. This directory behaves the same way (with respect to the build system) as the *src* directory, but is the place in which externally developed models can be downloaded and added to *ns-3*.

For contributors, the app store provides a means for module developers to make releases on their own schedule and not be tied to the release schedule of the mainline, nor to be blocked by mainline code reviews or style requirements. It is still possible (and recommended) to obtain code reviews and follow style guidelines for contributed modules, as described below.

5.1.1 Example app

For example, consider a user who wants to use a model of the LoRaWAN sensor networking protocol in *ns-3*. This model is not available in the mainline *ns-3* releases, but the user checks in the [App Store](#). Sensor networking protocols

can be found by browsing the category *Sensor Networks* in the left-hand menu, upon which the LoRaWAN module is displayed. This module is maintained by an external research group. Clicking on the app icon leads to a page in which more details about this module are displayed, including *Release History*, *Installation*, and *Maintenance*.

The installation tab suggests two primary ways to add a *lorawan* module to an *ns-3* installation:

1. Download a source archive from the link provided on the app store and unpack it in the *contrib/* directory.
2. Perform a *git clone* operation from within the *ns-3 contrib/* directory.

There is a third way in general, which is to use the Bake build system to add or remove modules. Most modules have a *bakeconf.xml* file associated with them, which can be added to the *contrib/* folder of Bake. This permits bake configuration operations such as:

```
$ ./bake.py configure -e ns-3.34 -e lorawan-0.3.0
$ ./bake.py download
$ ./bake.py build
```

Users will be concerned about which version of the module applies to the version of *ns-3*. The *Release History* tab describes the releases and the minimum (and, optionally, the maximum) *ns-3* version that the release works with.

Finally, information about how the module will continue to be maintained, and how to submit issue reports or ask questions about it, are typically posted in the optional *Maintenance* or *Development* tabs.

5.2 App types

Two types of “apps” are hosted on the app store: 1) contributed modules, and 2) forks of *ns-3*. It benefits users the most when contributed code can be added as modules to the *contrib* directory, because this allows the modules to be used with different versions of the *ns-3* mainline and to combine multiple such modules. However, some authors have chosen to create a full fork of *ns-3*, and to publish full *ns-3* source trees. One reason for such forks is if the fork requires intrusive changes to the *ns-3* mainline code, in such a manner that the patch will likely not be accepted in the mainline. For an example of a full fork in the app store, see the [Public Safety Communications](#) app page; this is a full fork because of the intrusive changes to the mainline LTE module necessary to support the public safety extensions.

Sometimes a contributed module does require a very small patch to the mainline despite being largely implemented in a modular way. In this case, the best strategy is to try to create a minimal patch to upstream to the mainline, and work with maintainers to incorporate it. Even if this is not successful or not attempted, one can maintain a small patch file as part of the module, and bake build instructions for the module can be extended to patch the mainline code as the first step in the build process. Please consult the app store maintainers if guidance on this approach is needed.

5.3 Submitting to the app store

If you are interested in adding an app to the app store, contact webmaster@nsnam.org or one of the app store maintainers. Before getting started, browse through the existing apps so that you get a feel for what type of information will be required.

The main requirements are:

1. Define a module name that will be the name of the subdirectory in the *contrib* folder. Use *ns-3* naming conventions for directory names; i.e., all lower case, with separate words separated by dashes.
2. Ensure that your module can be successfully cloned into the *contrib* folder using the proposed module name, that it builds successfully, and that all examples and tests run.

3. Make at least one release on your GitHub or GitLab.com, associated with the latest *ns-3* release if possible. To do this, you will need to decide on a numbering scheme, such as ‘v1.0’ or ‘0.1’, etc. Consult GitHub or GitLab.com documentation on how to make a (tagged) release.
4. Come up with a small thumbnail icon for your app. A general guideline is a transparent PNG, size 100x100 pixels.
5. Provide names and email addresses of the person(s) who should have edit privileges (accounts) on the app store.

After providing this information, a skeleton page will be set up on the app store and will be initially invisible to users who visit the front page. Contributors can then log in and work with the app store maintainer to finalize the page, and then make it active (visible) to other users when ready.

5.4 Code review for apps

Even though apps are not added to the *ns-3* mainline, it is still possible to request code reviews for them (in order to improve the code). There is a special repository set up for this purpose: *ns-3-contrib-reviews*. The purpose of this repository is to provide a fork-able repository against which to generate Merge Requests, but no eventual merge ever takes place.

The steps to request a code review are:

1. Fork *ns-3-contrib-reviews* into your own namespace
2. Clone the fork to your local machine
3. Create a new feature branch on what you just checked out for your new code
4. cd to ‘contrib’ and clone your extension module there
5. remove the `.git` directory of that module so that git does not treat it as a submodule; e.g., if your module name is *modulename*:

```
$ rm -rf modulename/.git
```

6. Force an add of the module, overcoming the `.gitignore` file for contrib modules

```
$ git add -f modulename
```

7. Add your files:

```
$ git commit -m"Add modulename for review" -a
```

8. Push the feature branch to your remote repository

```
$ git push -u origin
```

9. Navigate to your github.com page and generate a Merge Request towards the upstream *ns-3-contrib-reviews*
10. Announce on ns-developers mailing list that you would like a review.

5.5 Maintaining app store modules

A recent [Google Summer of Code project](#) worked on allowing integration of the app store with continuous integration (CI) testing. This integration is ongoing, but the eventual goal is that regressions that are caused by upstream changes to *ns-3* will be quickly caught, and the app owner will be asked to fix the build of their app, possibly by issuing another module release as well.

5.6 Links to related projects

Some projects choose to maintain their own version of *ns-3*, or maintain models outside of the main tree of the code. In this case, the way to find out about these is to look at the Related Projects page on the *ns-3* [wiki](#).

If you know of externally maintained code that the project does not know about, please email webmaster@nsnam.org to request that it be added to the list of external projects.

Going forward, we will tend to prefer to list related projects on the app store so that there is a single place to discover them.

5.7 Unmaintained, contributed code

Anyone who wants to provide access to code that has been developed to extend *ns-3* but that will not be further maintained may list its availability on our website. Furthermore, we can provide, in some circumstances, storage of a compressed archive on a web server if needed. This type of code contribution will not be listed in the app store, although popular extensions might be adopted by a future contributor.

We ask anyone who wishes to do this to provide at least this information on our wiki:

- Authors,
- Name and description of the extension,
- How it is distributed (as a patch or full tarball),
- Location,
- Status (how it is maintained)

Please also make clear in your code the applicable software license. The contribution will be stored on our [wiki](#). If you need web server space for your archive, please contact webmaster@nsnam.org.

CODING STYLE

When writing code to be contributed to the *ns-3* open source project, we ask that you follow the coding standards, guidelines, and recommendations found below.

A lot of the syntactical rules described can be easily enforced with the help of the `utils/check-style.py` script which relies on a working version of `uncrustify`. We recommend to run this script over your newly introduced C++ files prior to submission as a Merge Request. However, we ask that you avoid introducing whitespace changes to any portions of existing files that do not pertain to your submission (even though such portions of existing files may not be currently compliant with the coding style).

To run `check-style.py` on a new file, the following command is suggested:

```
$ /path/to/utils/check-style.py -i -l 3 -f new-file.cc
```

The `-i` flag tells `check-style.py` to make modifications in-place. The `-l 3` argument asks to apply the highest level of whitespace compliance changes to the code.

6.1 Code layout

The code layout follows the [GNU coding standard](#) layout for C and extends it to C++. Do not use tabs for indentation. Indentation spacing is 2 spaces (the default emacs C++ mode) as outlined below:

```
Foo (void)
{
    if (test)
    {
        // do stuff here
    }
    else
    {
        // do other stuff here
    }

    for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++)
    {
        // do loop
    }

    while (test)
    {
        // do while
    }
}
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
do
{
    // do stuff
} while ();
}
```

Each statement should be put on a separate line to increase readability, and multi-statement blocks following conditional or looping statements are always delimited by braces (single-statement blocks may be placed on the same line of an `if ()` statement). Each variable declaration is on a separate line. Variables should be declared at the point in the code where they are needed, and should be assigned an initial value at the time of declaration. Except when used in a switch statement, the open and close braces `{` and `}` are always on a separate line. Do not use the C++ `goto` statement.

The layout of variables declared in a class may either be aligned with the variable names or unaligned, as long as the file is internally consistent and no tab characters are included. Examples:

```
int varOne;
double varTwo; // OK (unaligned)
int     varOne;
double  varTwo; // also OK (aligned)
```

6.2 Naming

6.2.1 Name encoding

Function, method, and type names should follow the **CamelCase** convention: words are joined without spaces and are capitalized. For example, “my computer” is transformed into `MyComputer`. Do not use all capital letters such as `MAC` or `PHY`, but choose instead `Mac` or `Phy`. Do not use all capital letters, even for acronyms such as `EDCA`; use `Edca` instead. This applies also to two-letter acronyms, such as `IP` (which becomes `Ip`). The goal of the CamelCase convention is to ensure that the words which make up a name can be separated by the eye: the initial Caps fills that role. Use **PascalCasing** (CamelCase with first letter capitalized) for function, property, event, and class names.

Variable names should follow a slight variation on the base CamelCase convention: **camelBack**. For example, the variable `user name` would be named `userName`. This variation on the basic naming pattern is used to allow a reader to distinguish a variable name from its type. For example, `UserName userName` would be used to declare a variable named `userName` of type `UserName`.

Global variables should be prefixed with a `g_` and member variables (including static member variables) should be prefixed with a `m_`. The goal of that prefix is to give a reader a sense of where a variable of a given name is declared to allow the reader to locate the variable declaration and infer the variable type from that declaration. Defined types will start with an upper case letter, consist of upper and lower case letters, and may optionally end with a `_t`. Defined constants (such as static const class members, or enum constants) will be all uppercase letters or numeric digits, with an underscore character separating words. Otherwise, the underscore character should not be used in a variable name. For example, you could declare in your class header `my-class.h`:

```
typedef int NewTypeOfInt_t;
const uint8_t PORT_NUMBER = 17;

class MyClass
{
    void MyMethod (int aVar);
    int m_aVar;
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
static int m_anotherVar;
};
```

and implement in your class file `my-class.cc`:

```
int MyClass::m_anotherVar = 10;
static int g_aStaticVar = 100;
int g_aGlobalVar = 1000;
void
MyClass::MyMethod (int aVar)
{
    m_aVar = aVar;
}
```

As an exception to the above, the members of structures do not need to be prefixed with an `m_`.

Finally, do not use [Hungarian notation](#), and do not prefix enums, classes, or delegates with any letter.

6.2.2 Choosing names

Variable, function, method, and type names should be based on the English language, American spelling. Furthermore, always try to choose descriptive names for them. Types are often english names such as: Packet, Buffer, Mac, or Phy. Functions and methods are often named based on verbs and adjectives: GetX, DoDispose, ClearArray, etc.

A long descriptive name which requires a lot of typing is always better than a short name which is hard to decipher. Do not use abbreviations in names unless the abbreviation is really unambiguous and obvious to everyone (e.g., use “size” over “sz”). Do not use short inappropriate names such as `foo`, `bar`, or `baz`. The name of an item should always match its purpose. As such, names such as “tmp” to identify a temporary variable, or such as “i” to identify a loop index are ok.

If you use predicates (that is, functions, variables or methods which return a single boolean value), prefix the name with “is” or “has”.

6.3 File layout and code organization

A class named `MyClass` should be declared in a header named `my-class.h` and implemented in a source file named `my-class.cc`. The goal of this naming pattern is to allow a reader to quickly navigate through the *ns-3* codebase to locate the source file relevant to a specific type.

Each `my-class.h` header should start with the following comments: the first line ensures that developers who use the emacs editor will be able to indent your code correctly. The following lines ensure that your code is licensed under the GPL, that the copyright holders are properly identified (typically, you or your employer), and that the actual author of the code is identified. The latter is purely informational and we use it to try to track the most appropriate person to review a patch or fix a bug. Please do not add the “All Rights Reserved” phrase after the copyright statement.

```
/* -*- Mode:C++; c-file-style:"gnu"; indent-tabs-mode:nil; -*- */
/*
 * Copyright (c) YEAR COPYRIGHTHOLDER
 *
 * This program is free software; you can redistribute it and/or modify
 * it under the terms of the GNU General Public License version 2 as
 * published by the Free Software Foundation;
 *
 */
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
* This program is distributed in the hope that it will be useful,  
* but WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY; without even the implied warranty of  
* MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. See the  
* GNU General Public License for more details.  
*  
* You should have received a copy of the GNU General Public License  
* along with this program; if not, write to the Free Software  
* Foundation, Inc., 59 Temple Place, Suite 330, Boston, MA 02111-1307 USA  
*  
* Author: MyName <myemail@example.com>  
*/
```

Below these C-style comments, always include the following which defines a set of header guards (`MY_CLASS_H`) used to avoid multiple header includes, which ensures that your code is included in the `ns-3` namespace and which provides a set of Doxygen comments for the public part of your class API. Detailed information on the set of tags available for doxygen documentation is described in the [Doxygen website](#).

```
#ifndef MY_CLASS_H  
#define MY_CLASS_H  
  
namespace n3 {  
  
/**  
 * \brief short one-line description of the purpose of your class  
 *  
 * A longer description of the purpose of your class after a blank  
 * empty line.  
 */  
class MyClass  
{  
public:  
    MyClass ();  
    /**  
     * \param firstParam a short description of the purpose of this parameter  
     * \returns a short description of what is returned from this function.  
     *  
     * A detailed description of the purpose of the method.  
     */  
    int DoSomething (int firstParam);  
private:  
    /**  
     * Private method doxygen is also recommended  
     */  
    void MyPrivateMethod (void);  
    int m_myPrivateMemberVariable; ///< Brief description of member variable  
};  
  
} // namespace ns3  
  
#endif /* MY_CLASS_H */
```

The `my-class.cc` file is structured similarly:

```
/* -*- Mode:C++; c-file-style:"gnu"; indent-tabs-mode:nil; -*- */  
/*  
 * Copyright (c) YEAR COPYRIGHTHOLDER
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

*
* 3-paragraph GPL blurb
*
* Author: MyName <myemail@foo.com>
*/
#include "my-class.h"

namespace ns3 {

MyClass::MyClass ()
{}

...

} // namespace ns3

```

6.4 Language features

As of ns-3.36, *ns-3* permits the use of C++-17 (or earlier) features in the implementation files. Because the *ns-3* public APIs are scanned by a [Python bindings framework](#) that doesn't support even all of the C++-11 features, the *ns-3* project is more conservative in using newer C++ standards in the APIs, and may ask to rework some APIs to retain compatibility with pybindgen.

If a developer would like to propose to raise this bar to include more features than this, please email the developers list. We will move this language support forward as our minimally supported compiler moves forward.

6.5 Comments

The project uses [Doxygen](#) to document the interfaces, and uses comments for improving the clarity of the code internally. All classes, methods, and members should have Doxygen comments. Doxygen comments should use the C comment (also known as Javadoc) style. For comments that are intended to not be exposed publicly in the Doxygen output, use the `@internal` and `@endinternal` tags. Please use the `@see` tag for cross-referencing. All parameters and return values should be documented. The *ns-3* codebase uses both the `@` or `\` characters for tag identification; please make sure that usage is consistent within a file.

As for comments within the code, comments should be used to describe intention or algorithmic overview where it is not immediately obvious from reading the code alone. There are no minimum comment requirements and small routines probably need no commenting at all, but it is hoped that many larger routines will have commenting to aid future maintainers. Please write complete English sentences and capitalize the first word unless a lower-case identifier begins the sentence. Two spaces after each sentence helps to make emacs sentence commands work. Sometimes `NS_LOG_DEBUG` statements can be also used in place of comments.

Short one-line comments and long comments can use the C++ comment style; that is, `/**`, but longer comments may use C-style comments:

```

/*
 * A longer comment,
 * with multiple lines.
 */

```

Variable declaration should have a short, one or two line comment describing the purpose of the variable, unless it is a local variable whose use is obvious from the context. The short comment should be on the same line as the variable

declaration, unless it is too long, in which case it should be on the preceding lines.

6.6 Casts

Where casts are necessary, use the Google C++ guidance: “Use C++-style casts like `static_cast<float>(double_value)`, or brace initialization for conversion of arithmetic types like `int64 y = int64{1} << 42.`”

Try to avoid (and remove current instances of) casting of `uint8_t` type to larger integers in our logging output by overriding these types within the logging system itself. Also, the unary `+` operator can be used to print the numeric value of any variable, such as:

```
uint8_t flags = 5;
std::cout << "Flags numeric value: " << +flags << std::endl;
```

Avoid unnecessary casts if minor changes to variable declarations can solve the issue.

6.7 Miscellaneous items

- The following emacs mode line should be the first line in a file:

```
/* -*- Mode:C++; c-file-style:"gnu"; indent-tabs-mode:nil; -*- */
```

- `NS_LOG_COMPONENT_DEFINE("log-component-name");` statements should be placed within `namespace ns3` (for module code) and after the `using namespace ns3;`. In examples, `NS_OBJECT_ENSURE_REGISTERED()` should also be placed within `namespace ns3`.
- Const reference syntax:

```
void MySub (const T&); // Method 1 (prefer this syntax)
void MySub (T const&); // Method 2 (avoid this syntax)
```

- Use a space between the function name and the parentheses, e.g.:

```
void MySub(const T&); // avoid this
void MySub (const T&); // use this instead
```

This spacing rule applies both to function declarations and invocations.

- Do not include inline implementations in header files; put all implementation in a `.cc` file (unless implementation in the header file brings demonstrable and significant performance improvement).
- Do not use `nil` or `NULL` constants; use `0` (improves portability)
- Consider whether you want the default constructor, copy constructor, or assignment operator in your class, and if not, explicitly mark them as deleted:

```
public:
    // Explain why these are not supported
    ClassName () = delete;
    ClassName (const ClassName&) = delete;
    ClassName& operator= (const ClassName&) = delete;
```

- Avoid returning a reference to an internal or local member of an object:

```
a_type& foo (void); // should be avoided, return a pointer or an object.
const a_type& foo (void); // same as above
```

This guidance does not apply to the use of references to implement operators.

- Expose class members through access functions, rather than direct access to a public object. The access functions are typically named “Get” and “Set”. For example, a member `m_delayTime` might have accessor functions `GetDelayTime ()` and `SetDelayTime ()`.
- For standard headers, use the C++ style of inclusion, such as

```
#include <cheader>
```

instead of

```
#include <header.h>
```

- Do not bring the C++ standard library namespace into *ns-3* source files by using the “using” directive; i.e. avoid `using namespace std;`
- When including *ns-3* headers in other *ns-3* files, use `<>` when you expect the header to be found in `build/` (or to be installed) and use `“”` when you know the header is in the same directory as the implementation.
 - inside `.h` files, always use

```
#include <ns3/header.h>
```

- inside `.cc` files, use

```
#include "header.h"
```

if file is in same directory, otherwise use

```
#include <ns3/header.h>
```

- Compilers will typically issue warnings on unused entities (e.g., variables, function parameters). Use the `[[maybe_unused]]` attribute to suppress such warnings when the entity may be unused depending on how the code is compiled (e.g., if the entity is only used in a logging statement or an assert statement). Example (parameter ‘p’ is only used for logging):

```
void
TcpSocketBase::CompleteFork (Ptr<Packet> p [[maybe_unused]], const TcpHeader& h,
                             const Address& fromAddress, const Address& toAddress)
{
  NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this << p << h << fromAddress << toAddress);
  ...
}
```

In function or method parameters, if the parameter is definitely unused, it should be left unnamed. Example (second parameter is not used):

```
void
UanMacAloha::RxPacketGood (Ptr<Packet> pkt, double, UanTxMode txMode)
{
  UanHeaderCommon header;
  pkt->RemoveHeader (header);
  ...
}
```

In this case, the parameter is also not referenced by Doxygen; e.g.:

```
/*
 * Receive packet from lower layer (passed to PHY as callback).
 *
 * \param pkt Packet being received.
 * \param txMode Mode of received packet.
 */
void RxPacketGood (Ptr<Packet> pkt, double, UanTxMode txMode);
```

The omission is preferred to commenting out unused parameters such as:

```
void
UanMacAloha::RxPacketGood (Ptr<Packet> pkt, double /*sinr*/, UanTxMode txMode)
{
    UanHeaderCommon header;
    pkt->RemoveHeader (header);
    ...
}
```