Data collection framework code review

- This code review is for the March developers meeting
- Would like feedback/concurrence on moving towards merging some of this code
- Data collection framework is being worked as part of the SAFE project, which is also working on automation, transient detection, and other support software for ns-3
 - See: <u>http://www.eg.bucknell.edu/~perrone/research/</u>



High level objectives of DCF

- Help users get data out of the simulator and into plots, files and databases
- Provide statistical support for transforming data into means, error bars, etc.
- Feed into other (future) elements of SAFE such as transient detectors
- Data provenance: record where the data came from, and make it reproducible



- ns-3 trace sources (traced values, traced callbacks) provide mechanism to export data
 - -build on top of trace source mechanism
 - provide ways for users to insert their own custom trace source without too much hassle



Tracing in ns-3

- ns-3 configures multiple 'TraceSource' objects (TracedValue, TracedCallback)
- Multiple types of 'TraceSink' objects can be hooked to these sources
- A special configuration namespace helps to manage access to trace sources





Data Collection Framework





Data Collection Framework example

- 'manet-safe.cc' example in ns-3-dcf repository
- Trace source: "/NodeList/*/ApplicationList/0/\$ns3::PacketSink/Rx"



Probe packet sink receptions between time 120-150 seconds

Set periodicity to 0.5 seconds

Plot packet count, total packet byte count (during interval) and mean packet byte count (within interval)

Data Collection Framework



Introduce helper to manage configuration complexity



Current scope for this code review





Gnuplot data collection example

src/data-collection/examples/manet-safe.cc

```
// Configure the plot.
packetPlotHelper.ConfigurePlot ("manet-safe-packet-byte-count",
                                "MANET SAFE Packet Aggregator",
                                "Time (Seconds)",
                                "Count".
                                "png");
// Add a probe to the gnuplot helper.
packetPlotHelper.AddProbe ("ns3::ApplicationPacketProbe".
                           "PacketSinkRxProbe".
                           "/NodeList/*/ApplicationList/0/$ns3::PacketSink/Rx");
// Get a pointer to the helper's probe so that it can be configured.
Ptr<Probe> packetProbe = packetPlotHelper.GetProbe ("PacketSinkRxProbe");
packetProbe->SetAttribute ("Start", TimeValue (Seconds (120.0)));
packetProbe->SetAttribute ("Stop", TimeValue (Seconds (150.0)));
// Add a collector to the gnuplot helper.
packetPlotHelper.AddCollector ("ns3::BasicStatsCollector",
                               "PacketSinkRxCollector",
                               "PacketSinkRxProbe",
                               "OutputBytes");
// Get a pointer to the helper's collector so that it can be configured.
Ptr<Collector> packetCollector = packetPlotHelper.GetCollector ("PacketSinkRxCollector");
packetCollector->SetPeriodic (Seconds (0.5));
// Get a pointer to the helper's aggregator so that it can be configured.
Ptr<GnuplotAggregator> packetAggregator = packetPlotHelper.GetAggregator ();
packetAggregator->Set2dDatasetDefaultStyle (Gnuplot2dDataset::POINTS);
```



Gnuplot data collection example (2)

• src/data-collection/examples/manet-safe.cc





Issues (1)

- Probe/collector interface
 - Mutual support of 1) high-level API that avoids callback notation, 2) possibly many probe trace source types, and 3) want to implement collectors without code duplication
 - This has proven to be difficult, so we are falling back (for now) to make it that all probes export a double typed value

Walkthrough the example to illustrate this





- Synchronization of data from multiple collectors into aggregators
- Asynchronous API of upstream objects "publishing" downstream is not aligned with need for collectors to sometimes pull (poll) for most current data

Walkthrough the example to illustrate this





 src/data-collection should probably be added into the module hierarchy at a lowlevel (e.g. above 'network') so that other modules can define their own probes; is this acceptable?



Desired next steps

- Review/confirm general approach to the problem
- Resolve open issues and provide currently scoped code for a merge review

